UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	United States of America)
	v.)) Case No. 4:12CR3066
	MATTHEW DAVID SIEBRASS,
	Defendant)
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts hat the defendant be detained pending trial.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
(of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
	□ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
	□ a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
	□ a minor victim
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
X (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
	X for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. 846 .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	Altern	ative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
]	Part II— Statemen I find that the testimony and information subm	at of the Reasons for Detention itted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
convinci	ing evidence a preponderance of the evidence	dence that
	endant poses a risk of harm and a risk of flight. ant is being held in Lancaster County on warra	The defendant is waived a detention hearing at this time. nts.
	Part III—Direc	ctions Regarding Detention
in a corr pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent practical appeal. The defendant must be afforded a rea	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement ble, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody sonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On a for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility all for a court appearance.
Date:	July 3, 2012	s/Cheryl R. Zwart
		United States Magistrate Judge